Jean Hill review

Bottom page 2, penult line, insert: "Some expert shooters have alleged that shooting from that sixth-floor TSBD window would have been easier had the motorcade been on Main Street because it would have proceeded in a straight line rather than twisting and turning and because the firing angle would not have been nearly as steeply downward."

I do not understand the sentence that follows. If she says what you say in it I think you should say that.

I would add as a new ending on page 4 something like

How tragic it is that well-intended people like Hill have been manipulated by those who for various reasons that, as with Stone, do not exclude commercialization and exploitation of this terrible crime, into remembering what did not happen and into saying what conspiracy theorists and others ~~saying what~~ is not so thereby destroying what credibility they had in their contemporaneous accounts of details of essentially minor details of the assassination.

When the simply enormous outpouring of such faulty and mislead books as Hill's in the wake of the enormous attention to Stone's also faulty and misleading account of the assassination is considered they amount to an extensive disinformation that confuses the sorrowing nation even more and thus tends to exculpate both the actual killers and those in official roles who foisted off the unacceptable official "solution" to the crime and to a rewriting of our history.